



eduresourceza.com



basic education

Department:
Basic Education
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH HOME LANGUAGE P3

NOVEMBER 2013

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 100

This memorandum consists of 10 pages.

INFORMATION FOR THE MARKER

In assessing a candidate's work, the following aspects, among others, drawn from the assessment rubric, must be borne in mind:

- The overall effect of planning, drafting, proofreading and editing of the work on the final text produced.
- Awareness of writing for a specific purpose, audience and context – as well as register, style and tone – especially in SECTIONS B and C.
- Grammar, spelling and punctuation.
- Language structures, including an awareness of critical language.
- Choice of words and idiomatic language.
- Sentence construction.
- Paragraphing.
- Interpretation of the topic that will be reflected in the overall content: the introduction, the development of ideas and the conclusion.

SUGGESTED APPROACH TO MARKING

SECTION A: ESSAY

Refer to SECTION A: Rubric For Assessing An Essay, found on page 8 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT	
CRITERIA	MARKS
CONTENT AND PLANNING	30
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	15
STRUCTURE	5
TOTAL	50

1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT AND PLANNING.
2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
3. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for STRUCTURE.

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

Refer to SECTION B: Rubric For Assessing Longer Transactional Texts, found on page 9 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT	
CRITERIA	MARKS
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	18
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	12
TOTAL	30

1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

Refer to SECTION C: Rubric For Assessing Shorter Texts: Transactional/Referential/Informational, found on page 10 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT	
CRITERIA	MARKS
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	12
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	8
TOTAL	20

1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

NOTE:

- Various formats of transactional/referential/informational texts have been taught/are in current practice. This has to be considered when assessing the format.
- Give credit for appropriateness of format.
- Look for a logical approach in all writing.

SECTION A: ESSAY

QUESTION 1

Candidates are required to write ONE essay of 400–450 words (2–2½ pages) on ONE of the given topics. Candidates may write in any genre: narrative, descriptive, reflective, argumentative, expository, discursive, or any combination of these.

- 1.1 **Words make a difference**
• The focus must be on the impact of words. [50]
- 1.2 **'I am a camera.'**
(Christopher Isherwood)
• Candidates may interpret this topic literally or figuratively. [50]
- 1.3 **'An idea that is not dangerous is unworthy of being called an idea at all.'**
(Oscar Wilde)
• Focus on the value of challenging/unconventional/revolutionary ideas.
• Credit unusual but valid responses that engage with or challenge the premise.
• May argue *for* and/or *against* the topic. [50]
- 1.4 **Off the beaten track**
• Candidates may interpret this topic literally or figuratively. [50]
- 1.5 **'Darkness cannot drive out darkness; only light can do that. Hate cannot drive out hate; only love can do that.'**
(Martin Luther King)
• May argue *for* and/or *against* the topic.
• Focus on opposing forces. [50]
- 1.6 **'You can cut all the flowers but you cannot keep spring from coming.'**
(Pablo Neruda)
• Allow for varying responses to this topic. [50]
- 1.7 **NOTE:** There must be a clear link between the essay and the picture chosen.
- 1.7.1 **Eye in the sky**
• Credit literal/figurative/mixed interpretations. [50]
- 1.7.2 **Staircase**
• Credit literal/figurative/philosophical responses. [50]
- TOTAL SECTION A: 50**

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

QUESTION 2

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 180–200 words (20–25 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

2.1 EDITORIAL

- The content must be appropriate to the first edition of the magazine.
- Style, register and tone must be appropriate to the teenage market.
- A reasonable degree of formality has to be maintained.
- Format: headline (optional).

[30]

2.2 FORMAL LETTER

- Accept various interpretations of 'service'.
- Focus must be on the details of dissatisfaction and appropriate compensation.
- Should be a letter of complaint.
- FORMAT: own address, date, and details of addressee, salutation, subject line and signing off.

[30]

2.3 DIALOGUE

- Dialogue must be coherent and convincing.
- Dialogue format.

[30]

2.4 REVIEW

- Nature of the controversy should be mentioned.
- The content can be adversely critical, complimentary or both.
- Format: headline, by line (optional).

[30]

TOTAL SECTION B: 30

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

QUESTION 3

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 100–120 words (10–12 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

3.1 POSTER

- Sufficient details to be given to attract volunteers.
- Appropriately catchy words and phrases to be credited.
- Where appropriate, relevant information to be included e.g. name of organisation, date, contact details.

[20]

3.2 DIARY

- Mark only the FIRST diary entry, and strike out the others.
- Focus on the impact of retrenchment on the diarist and family.
- Format: date and salutation (optional).

[20]

3.3 POSTCARD

- Candidates must respond to ONE of the images.
- If candidate responds to more than one image, mark the FIRST one only and strike out the others.
- There must be a clear link between the image and the message.
- There must be explicit or implicit mention of the place visited.
- Personal observations would enhance the overall message.
- Format: date and address (optional).

[20]

TOTAL SECTION C: 20
GRAND TOTAL: 100

SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE (50 marks)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100%	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79%	Code 5: Substantial 60–69%	Code 4: Adequate 50–59%	Code 3: Moderate 40–49%	Code 2: Elementary 30–39%	Code 1: Not achieved 0–29%
	<u>24–30</u>	<u>21–23½</u>	<u>18–20½</u>	<u>15–17½</u>	<u>12–14½</u>	<u>9–11½</u>	<u>0–8½</u>
CONTENT & PLANNING (30 MARKS)	-Content outstanding, highly original. -Ideas thought-provoking, mature. -Planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable essay.	-Content meritorious, original. -Ideas imaginative, interesting. - Planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable essay.	-Content sound, reasonably coherent. -Ideas interesting, convincing. - Planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and good essay.	-Content appropriate, adequately coherent. -Ideas interesting, adequately original. - Planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactory, presentable essay.	-Content mediocre, ordinary. Gaps in coherence. -Ideas mostly relevant. Limited originality. - Planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent essay.	-Content not always clear, lacks coherence. -Few ideas, often repetitive. -Inadequate for Home Language level despite planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	-Content largely irrelevant. No coherence. -Ideas tedious, repetitive. -Inadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.
	<u>12–15</u>	<u>10½–11½</u>	<u>9–10</u>	<u>7½–8½</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>4½–5½</u>	<u>0–4</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (15 MARKS)	-Critical awareness of impact of language. -Language, punctuation effectively used. -Uses highly appropriate figurative language. -Choice of words exceptional, mature. -Style, tone, register highly suited to topic. -Virtually error-free following proofreading and editing.	-Critical awareness of impact of language. -Language, punctuation correct; able to use figurative language. -Choice of words varied and creative. -Style, tone, register appropriately suited to topic. -Largely error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Critical awareness of language evident. -Language and punctuation mostly correct. -Choice of words suited to text. -Style, tone, register suited to topic. -Mostly error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of language. -Language simplistic, punctuation adequate. -Choice of words adequate. -Style, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirements. -Still contains a few errors following proofreading, editing.	-Limited critical language awareness. -Language mediocre, punctuation often inaccurately used. -Choice of words basic. -Style, tone register lacking in coherence. -Contains several errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation flawed. -Choice of words limited. -Style, tone, register inappropriate. -Error-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation seriously flawed. -Choice of words inappropriate. -Style, tone, register flawed in all aspects. -Error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing.
	<u>4–5</u>	<u>3½</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2½</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1½</u>	<u>0–1</u>
STRUCTURE (5 MARKS)	-Coherent development of topic. Vivid, exceptional detail. -Sentences, paragraphs brilliantly constructed. -Length in accordance with requirements of topic.	-Logical development of details. Coherent. -Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied. -Length correct.	-Several relevant details developed. -Sentences, paragraphs well constructed. -Length correct.	-Some points, necessary details developed. -Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense. -Length almost correct.	-Most necessary points evident. -Sentences, paragraphs faulty but essay still makes sense. -Length – too long/short.	-Sometimes off topic but general line of thought can be followed. -Sentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary level. -Length – too long/short.	-Off topic. -Sentences, paragraphs muddled, inconsistent. Length – far too long/short.

SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (30 marks)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100% <u>14½–18</u>	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79% <u>13–14</u>	Code 5: Substantial 60–69% <u>11–12½</u>	Code 4: Adequate 50–59% <u>9–10½</u>	Code 3: Moderate 40–49% <u>7½–8½</u>	Code 2: Elementary 30–39% <u>5½–7</u>	Code 1: Not achieved 0–29% <u>0–5</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (18 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of text. -Disciplined writing – maintains rigorous focus, no digressions. -Total coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable text. -Highly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of text. -Disciplined writing – maintains focus, no digressions. -Coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable text. -Has applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of text. -Writing – maintains focus, with minor digressions. -Mostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good text. -Has applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of text. -Writing – digresses but does not impede overall meaning. -Adequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented text. -Has applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focus. -Writing – digresses, meaning vague in places. -Moderately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent text. -Has a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focus. -Writing – digresses, meaning obscure in places. -Not always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topic. -Inadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presented. -Has vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights.	-No knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focus. -Writing – digresses, meaning obscure in places. -Not coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topic. -Inadequate planning/ drafting. Poorly presented text. -Has not applied necessary rules of format.
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (12 MARKS)	-Grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructed. -Vocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register highly appropriate. -Virtually error-free following proofreading and editing. -Length correct.	-Very well constructed and accurate. -Vocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Suitable style, tone, register considering demands of task. -Largely error-free following proofreading and editing. -Length correct.	-Well constructed and easy to read. -Vocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register mostly appropriate. -Mostly error-free following proofreading and editing. -Length correct.	-Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flow. -Vocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register fairly appropriate. -A few errors following proofreading and editing. -Length almost correct.	-Basically constructed. Several errors. -Vocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and context. -Lapses in style, tone and register. -Several errors following proofreading and editing. -Length – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and difficult to follow. -Vocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone and register inappropriate. -Error-ridden despite proofreading, editing. -Length – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and very difficult to follow. -Vocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purpose. -Style, tone and register do not correspond with topic -Error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing. -Length – far too long/short.

SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (20 marks)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100% <u>10–12</u>	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79% <u>8½–9½</u>	Code 5: Substantial 60–69% <u>7½–8</u>	Code 4: Adequate 50–59% <u>6–7</u>	Code 3: Moderate 40–49% <u>5–5½</u>	Code 2: Elementary 30–39% <u>4–4½</u>	Code 1: Not achieved 0–29% <u>0–3½</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (12 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of text. -Exhibits a profound awareness of wider contexts in writing. -Disciplined writing – learner maintains rigorous focus, no digressions. -Total coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable text. -Has produced a highly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of text. -Exhibits a broad awareness of wider contexts in writing. -Disciplined writing – learner maintains focus, no digressions. -Text is coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well crafted and presentable text. -Has applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of text. -Exhibits a general awareness of wider contexts in writing tasks. -Writing – learner maintains focus, with minor digressions. -Text is mostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good text. -Has applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of text. -Exhibits some awareness of wider context in writing tasks -Writing – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaning. -Text adequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented text. -Has applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focus. -Exhibits rather limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasks. -Writing – learner digresses, meaning vague in places. -Text moderately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topic. -Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent text. -Has a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focus. -Exhibits a limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasks. -Writing – learner digresses, meaning obscure in places. -Text not always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topic. -Inadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presented. -Has vaguely applied necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of text. -Exhibits no knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasks. -Writing – learner digresses, meaning obscure in places. -Text not coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topic. -Inadequate planning/ drafting. Poorly presented text. -Has not applied necessary rules of format.
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (8 MARKS)	-Text grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructed. - Vocabulary is highly appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register highly appropriate. -Text virtually error free following proofreading. -Length correct.	-Text very well constructed and accurate. -Vocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Suitable style, tone and register considering demands of task. -Text largely error-free following proofreading and editing. -Length correct.	-Text well constructed and easy to read. -Vocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register mostly appropriate. -Text mostly error-free following proofreading and editing. -Length correct.	-Text adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flow. -Vocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone, register fairly appropriate. -Text still contains few errors following proofreading and editing. -Length almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errors. -Vocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and context. -Lapses in style, tone and register. -Text contains several errors following proofreading and editing. -Length – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and difficult to follow. -Vocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and context. -Style, tone and register inappropriate. -Text error-ridden despite proofreading, editing. -Length – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and very difficult to follow. -Vocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purpose. -Style, tone and register do not correspond with topic. -Text error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing. -Length – far too long/short.